

[1st March 1929]

- (e) The differentiation made between notices published by courts under sections 19 (2), 38 (1) and 41 (1) of the Act on the one hand and notices published by courts under sections 30, 37 (2) and 64 on the other is based on the recommendation made by the High Court in 1910; the principles underlying such differentiation are not on record. The difference in rates as between notices under sections 30 and 37 (2) on the one hand and those under section 64 on the other is based on the principle that a difference should be made between matter which has to be published by the Government and that which has to be published by others.
- (f) See clause (c).
- (g) The rate for the advertisements of private parties is 6 annas a line; the rates for publication of notices under the Provincial Insolvency Act are given under clause (c).

Civil Justice

Removal of the efficiency bar for the Subordinate Judges and District Munsifs.

* 1582 Q.—Mr. K. R. KARANT: Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that memorials have been received from the subordinate judges and district munsifs for the removal of the bar of 13 years' permanent service rule before a subordinate judge can get increment as laid down in G.O. No. 2264, Law (General), dated 14th September 1922;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the hon. Member's predecessor in office received a deputation on the same matter; and

(c) what action Government have taken or propose to take in the matter?

A.—(a) Yes. The memorials have been received from the district munsifs.

(b) Yes.

(c) The matter is under consideration.

Mr. K. R. KARANT:—"May I ask the hon. the Law Member whether he expects to come to an early decision on the matter?"

The hon. Diwan Bahadur M. KRISHNAN NAYAR:—"I shall try to come to as early a decision as possible."

Delay in the disposal of cases in courts.

* 1583 Q.—Mr. J. A. SALDANHA: Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state, with reference to question No. 429 answered on 9th October 1928, regarding delay in the disposal of cases in courts whether any steps are contemplated to be taken for the inspection of mufassal courts by High Court Judges?

A.—Not in the immediate future.

Mr. J. A. SALDANHA:—"May I ask whether the Government are aware that these delays cause much suffering to the litigant public, and in view of that whether they will not take early steps?"

The hon. Diwan Bahadur M. KRISHNAN NAYAR:—"No, Sir."